

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

AT JACKSON

NOVEMBER 1995 SESSION

<p>FILED</p> <p>December 15, 1995</p> <p>Cecil Crowson, Jr. Appellate Court Clerk</p>
--

STATE OF TENNESSEE,

Appellee,

V.

GLORIA JEAN HARRISON,
aka GLORIA JEAN AGINS,

Appellant.

)
) C.C.A. No. 02C01-9505-CC-00118
)
) Madison County
)
) (Theft in Excess of \$500.00)
)
) Honorable Franklin Murchison, Judge
)
)
)

FOR THE APPELLANT:

J. B. Glassman
 Attorney at Law
 1341 North Highland Avenue
 Jackson, TN 38301

FOR THE APPELLEE:

Charles W. Burson
 Attorney General & Reporter

John P. Cauley
 Assistant Attorney General
 Criminal Justice Division
 450 James Robertson Parkway
 Nashville, TN 37243-0943

James G. (Jerry) Woodall
 District Attorney General

Don Allen
 Asst. Dist. Attorney General
 P.O. Box 2825
 Jackson, TN 38302

OPINION FILED: _____

AFFIRMED

PAUL G. SUMMERS,
Judge

OPINION

The appellant, Gloria Harrison, was convicted by a jury in the Madison County Circuit Court of theft in excess of \$500.00. No stranger to the criminal process, the appellant has a previous history of criminal convictions including larceny, forgery, and assault. Judge Franklin Murchison sentenced her to six years in the Tennessee Department of Correction as a career offender. The sentence is to be served consecutively to all other sentences for which she was previously paroled. She appeals to this Court for relief. We affirm.

Appellant's arguments are meritless. First, she alleges that the trial judge did not properly instruct the jury as to the value of the property stolen. No special requests for instructions on value were asked of the trial court. Nothing was included in the motion for new trial. Tenn. R. App. P., Rule 3(e). Notwithstanding waiver, our review of the record shows that the trial judge gave the proper instruction as to value.

The appellant next contends that the evidence was insufficient to convict her of theft. She makes a blanket statement alleging insufficiency and does not cite to the record areas of insufficiency about which she complains. See Tenn. R. App. P., Rule 27(g); Tenn. R. Ct. Crim. App. 10. Notwithstanding waiver, we have reviewed the entire record. The evidence is sufficient, indeed overwhelming, that the appellant stole more than \$500.00 worth of merchandise from the Jackson Wal-Mart on June 23, 1993.

The appellant's brief on appeal is marginal. No references to the record exist. The record is devoid of citation to meaningful legal authority. Because of these inadequacies, the Court has reviewed this record for plain error. We find none.

We find no error of law which would require a reversal of the trial court's judgment approving the jury verdict of guilt. Hence, pursuant to Rule 20 of the Court of Criminal Appeals of Tennessee, we affirm the judgment of the trial court in all respects.

PAUL G. SUMMERS, Judge

CONCUR:

DAVID H. WELLES, Judge

WILLIAM M. BARKER, Judge